arXiv:1409.7781v1 [math.FA] 27 Sep 2014

A NOTE ON LINEAR APPROXIMATELY ORTHOGONALITY PRESERVING MAPPINGS

YE ZHANG, YANNI CHEN, DON HADWIN, AND LIANG KONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, linear ε-orthogonality preserving mappings are studied. We define $\hat{\varepsilon}(T)$ as the smallest ε for which T is ε-orthogonality preserving, and then derive an exact formula for $\hat{\varepsilon}(T)$ in terms of $\|T\|$ and the minimum modulus $m(T)$ of T. We see that ε-orthogonality preserving mappings (for some $\varepsilon < 1$) are exactly the operators that are bounded from below. We improve an upper bounded in the stability equation given in [7, Theorem 2.3], which was thought to be sharp.

1. Introduction

Suppose H is a Hilbert spaces, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on H. The usual orthogonality relation $\perp$ is defined by

$$x \perp y \Leftrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

A mapping $f : H \to H$ satisfying the condition: for every $x, y \in H$,

$$x \perp y \Rightarrow f(x) \perp f(y)$$

is called an *orthogonality-preserving* (OP) mapping.

Let $B(H)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on H. It is well-known that a linear operator $T \in B(H)$ is OP if and only if T is a scalar multiple of an isometry.

Let us say that for a given $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, two vectors $x, y \in H$ are *ε-orthogonal*, denoted by $x \perp^{\varepsilon} y$, if

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|x\| \cdot \|y\|.$$

It is clear that every pair of vectors are 1-orthogonal, so the interesting case is when $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$.

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is *approximately orthogonality-preserving* (AOP) if there is an $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$ such that, for every $x, y \in H$,

$$x \perp y \Rightarrow Tx \perp^{\varepsilon} Ty.$$

If we want to include ε in the notation, we say that T is ε-AOP. We say that every operator is 1-AOP. If $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2 < 1$ and T is ε_1-AOP, then T is ε_2-AOP. Thus we are interested in the smallest such ε.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46C05, 47L05, 47B99.

Key words and phrases. approximate orthogonality, orthogonality preserving mappings, linear operators, minimum modulus.

Research supported in part by a Dissertation Year Fellowship form University of New Hampshire.

We define a function $\hat{\varepsilon} : B(H) \to [0,1]$ by

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = \inf\{\varepsilon \in [0,1] : T \text{ is } \varepsilon\text{-AOP }\}.$$

Thus $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = 1$ whenever T is not AOP.

In [6], stability property for inner product preserving (not necessarily linear) mappings was studied. Other approximate orthogonalities in general normed spaces along with the corresponding approximately orthogonality-preserving mappings have been studied in [1],[2],[3],[4].

In [5, Theorem 2], Chmieliński proved every nonzero linear AOP operator is bounded from below. In this paper we prove that the converse holds, i.e., T is AOP if and only if T is bounded from below.

Recall that the *minimum modulus* $m(T)$ of T is defined to be the largest number $m \geq 0$ such that, for every $x \in H$,

$$\|Tx\| \geq m\|x\|.$$

Our main result (Theorem 2.3) is the following.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose $T \in B(H)\backslash\{0\}$. Then

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = \frac{\|T\|^2 - m(T)^2}{\|T\|^2 + m(T)^2}$$

and

$$m(T) = \sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}\|T\|.$$

Clearly, this implies that $m(T) > 0$ if and only if $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) < 1$, and $m(T) = \|T\|$ if and only if $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = 0$ if and only if T is OP.

When H is finite-dimensional, Chmieliński [6] proved that there is a function $\delta : [0,1) \to [0,\infty)$ such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\delta(\varepsilon) = 0$ such that if $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ and $T \in B(H)$ is ε-AOP, then there is linear OP mapping S such that

$$\|T - S\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)\min\{\|T\|, \|S\|\},$$

and asked whether the same holds true when H is infinite-dimensional.

A. Turnšek [7, Theorem 2.3] showed that Chmieliński's result [6] holds for arbitrary H with

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}},$$

and he claimed, using an example [7, Example 2.4], that his result is sharp. However, we show that Chmieliński's result holds when

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \frac{1-\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}}{1+\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}}}.$$

Thus, if $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) < 1$, there is a linear OP map S such that

$$\|T - S\| \leq \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{1+\sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}\right)\cdot\min\{\|T\|, \|S\|\} = \frac{1-\sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{2}\|T\|.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.5 that $\delta(\varepsilon)$ is the best. Note that if $T = V(T^*T)^{1/2}$ is the polar decomposition of T, Turnšek defines $S = \|T\| V$, while we choose $S = \frac{\|T\|+m(T)}{2} V$.

Since linear OP mappings are precisely scalar multiples of isometries, a natural question is whether linear ε-AOP mappings are close to linear OP mappings (that is, to scalar multiples of isometries) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In other words, does $\hat{\varepsilon}(T)$ in some way measure the distance from T to the set $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$ of scalar multiples of isometries? We prove the following affirmative answer (Theorem 3.5):

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T) < 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{2} \|T\|.$$

When H is separable, we actually prove that this formula holds for all operators T that are not semi-Fredholm with positive index.

If we replace the set of scalar multiples of isometries with the set $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{U}$ of scalar multiples of the set $\mathcal{U}$ of unitary operators, we obtain a universal distance formula on a separable Hilbert space:

$$\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|T\|+m_e(T^*)}{2} & \text{if } \dim\ker T > \dim\ker T^* \\ \frac{\|T\|+m_e(T)}{2} & \text{if } \dim\ker T < \dim\ker T^* \\ \frac{1-\sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{2} \|T\| & \text{if } \dim\ker T = \dim\ker T^*. \end{cases}$$

When $\dim H = \aleph_0$, the formula for $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{U})$ cannot be written solely in terms of $\|T\|$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}(T)$; it seems likely that the same is true for a formula for $dist(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V})$.

2. Main Results

In this paper, we assume the dimension of H is at least 2.

The following lemma is a well-known result about left invertible operators.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $T \in B(H)$. The following are equivalent.*

(1) $m(T) := \inf\{\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1\} > 0$ (i.e., T is bounded from below).
(2) T is left invertible.
(3) $\ker T = 0$ and $\operatorname{ran} T$ is closed.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $T \in B(H)\backslash\{0\}$ and $m(T) = 0$. Then $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $\ker T \neq 0$. Take any nonzero vector $e \in \ker T$ and any unit vector $f \notin \ker T \cup \ker T^{\perp}$. Let $x = \langle e, f\rangle f$, $y = e - x$. Then $x \perp y$ and $Tx = -Ty$, thus it follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = 1$.

Now, we may assume $\ker T = 0$, but ran T is not closed. Let E be the spectral measure of $|T|$. Then for every $\delta > 0$, $E[0,\delta)H$ is an infinite dimensional closed subspace such that $\|Tx\| < \delta\|x\|$ for all $x \in E[0,\delta]H$. Let $\delta_n = \frac{\|T\|}{2n}$, $M_n = E[0,\delta_n)H$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take unit vectors $e_1 \in M_1$ and $f_1 \perp e_1$. For $n \geq 2$, take unit vectors $e_n \in M_n \cap \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n-1}\}^{\perp}$, $f_n \perp e_n$ with $\|Tf_n\| = \frac{2n-1}{2n}\|T\|$. Let $x_n = e_n - f_n$, $y_n = e_n + f_n$. Then $x_n \perp y_n$, $\|Tx_n\| \to \|T\|$, $\|Ty_n\| \to \|T\|$, and $|\langle Tx_n, Ty_n\rangle| \to \|T\|^2$, this shows that $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = 1$ and the proof is completed. □

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $T \in B(H)\backslash\{0\}$. Then*

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = \frac{\|T\|^2 - m(T)^2}{\|T\|^2 + m(T)^2}.$$

Proof. Let $m = m(T), t = \|T\|$. By the preceding lemma, we can easily see that the equation holds when $m = 0$.

Now, let's assume $m(T) > 0$. Notice that rank$T \geq 2$. Take two unit vectors Th, Tk with $Th \perp Tk$, then h and k are linearly independent, and $\frac{1}{t} \leq \|h\|, \|k\| \leq \frac{1}{m}$. Suppose $\langle h, k\rangle = re^{i\theta}$, and $\lambda = \frac{\|h\|}{\|k\|}e^{i\theta}$. Then

$$|\lambda| \in [m/t, t/m],\ \langle h, \lambda k\rangle \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } h + \lambda k \perp h - \lambda k.$$

We compute

$$\langle T(h + \lambda k), T(h - \lambda k)\rangle = 1 - |\lambda|^2,$$
$$\|T(h + \lambda k)\|\|T(h - \lambda k)\| = 1 + |\lambda|^2,$$

so

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T) \geq \sup\left\{\frac{|1 - |\lambda|^2|}{1 + |\lambda|^2} : |\lambda| \in [m/t, t/m]\right\} = \frac{t^2 - m^2}{t^2 + m^2}.$$

Suppose $x \perp y_0$, $\|x\| = \|y_0\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon_{xy_0} = \langle \frac{Tx}{\|Tx\|}, \frac{Ty_0}{\|Ty_0\|}\rangle = |\varepsilon_{xy_0}|e^{i\theta}$. Let $y = e^{i\theta}y_0$. Then $\varepsilon_{xy} = \langle \frac{Tx}{\|Tx\|}, \frac{Ty}{\|Ty\|}\rangle = |\varepsilon_{xy_0}| \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 2\varepsilon_{xy} &= \|\frac{Tx}{\|Tx\|} - \frac{Ty}{\|Ty\|}\|^2 = \|T(\frac{x}{\|Tx\|} - \frac{y}{\|Ty\|})\|^2 \\ &\geq m^2\|\frac{x}{\|Tx\|} - \frac{y}{\|Ty\|}\|^2 \\ &= m^2(\|Tx\|^{-2} + \|Ty\|^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\|\frac{Tx}{\|Tx\|} - \frac{Ty}{\|Ty\|}\|^2 = \lambda m^2(\|Tx\|^{-2} + \|Ty\|^{-2})$ with $1 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{t^2}{m^2}$. By the Parallelogram law,

$$\|\frac{Tx}{\|Tx\|} + \frac{Ty}{\|Ty\|}\|^2 = 4 - \lambda m^2(\|Tx\|^{-2} + \|Ty\|^{-2}).$$

Notice that $\|\frac{Tx}{\|Tx\|} + \frac{Ty}{\|Ty\|}\|^2 = \|T(\frac{x}{\|Tx\|} + \frac{y}{\|Ty\|})\|^2 \leq t^2(\|Tx\|^{-2} + \|Ty\|^{-2})$, we get

$$4 \leq (t^2 + \lambda m^2)(\|Tx\|^{-2} + \|Ty\|^{-2}),$$

thus

$$\|Tx\|^{-2} + \|Ty\|^{-2} \geq \frac{4}{t^2 + \lambda m^2}.$$

Since $\lambda \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 2\varepsilon_{xy} &= \|\frac{Tx}{\|Tx\|} - \frac{Ty}{\|Ty\|}\|^2 = \lambda m^2(\|Tx\|^{-2} + \|Ty\|^{-2}) \\ &= \geq \frac{4\lambda m^2}{t^2 + \lambda m^2} \geq \frac{4m^2}{t^2 + m^2}, \end{aligned}$$

this proves $\varepsilon_{xy} \leq \frac{t^2 - m^2}{t^2 + m^2}$ and therefore

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = \sup_{x \perp y}\varepsilon_{xy} \leq \frac{t^2 - m^2}{t^2 + m^2}.$$

The proof is completed. □

The following corollaries follow directly from the theorem.

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose $T \in B(H)$. Then T is an orthogonality preserving mapping if and only if T is a scalar multiple of an isometry.*

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose $T \in B(H)\backslash\{0\}$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon \in [0,1)$ such that T is an ε-OP mapping if and only if T is bounded from below. Moreover, $m(T) = \sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}\|T\|$.*

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose $T \in B(H)\backslash\{0\}$. Then $\hat{\varepsilon}$ is continuous at T.*

Proof. Suppose $\|T_n - T\| \to 0$. Since $T \neq 0$, we may assume all the T_n 's are not zero. Then $t_n = \|T_n\| \to \|T\| = t$, $m_n = m(T_n) \to m(T) = m$, and $t_n^2 + m_n^2 \neq 0$, therefore

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n) = \frac{t_n^2 - m_n^2}{t_n^2 + m_n^2} \to \frac{t^2 - m^2}{t^2 + m^2} = \hat{\varepsilon}(T).$$

□

Remark 2.7. *The function $\hat{\varepsilon}$ is not continuous at 0. Take any T with $\hat{\varepsilon}(T) \neq 0$ and let $T_n = \frac{1}{n}T$. Then $\|T_n\| \to 0$, but for every n, $\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n) = \hat{\varepsilon}(T) \neq 0$.*

3. A Distance Formula

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of all isometries, $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$ be the set of all scalar multiples of isometries. Since

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T) = 0 \Leftrightarrow T \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = 0,$$

a natural question comes up. Suppose $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B(H)$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n) \to 0$. Does that imply $\operatorname{dist}(T_n, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) \to 0$? Unfortunately, the answer is negative.

Example 3.1. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n = \begin{pmatrix} n^2 & 0 \\ 0 & n^2+n \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$. Clearly,*

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n) = \hat{\varepsilon}(\frac{T_n}{n^2}) = \frac{(1+\frac{1}{n})^2 - 1}{(1+\frac{1}{n})^2 + 1} \to 0.$$

We claim $dist(T_n, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \frac{n}{2} \to \infty$. To see this, take an isometry(unitary) matrix $U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R}), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n - \lambda U\| &= \| \begin{pmatrix} n^2 - \lambda a & -\lambda b \\ -\lambda c & (n^2+n) - \lambda d \end{pmatrix} \| \\ &\geq \max\{|n^2 - \lambda a|,\ |(n^2+n) - \lambda d|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since U is an unitary, $|a| = |d|$. If $ad < 0$, then

$$\max\{|n^2 - \lambda a|,\ |(n^2+n) - \lambda d|\} \geq n^2.$$

If $ad > 0$, then $\lambda a = \lambda d$ and

$$\max\{|n^2 - \lambda a|,\ |(n^2+n) - \lambda d|\} \geq \frac{n}{2}.$$

Consequently, $dist(T_n, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) \geq \frac{n}{2}$. But $\|T_n - (n^2 + \frac{n}{2})I\| = \frac{n}{2}$, so $dist(T_n, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \frac{n}{2}$.

The above example gives us a way to compute the distance between some special operators and $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$. In the following, we study the general distance formula.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T \in B(H)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) \geq \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, V \in \mathcal{V},\ x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Then

$$\|T - \lambda V\| \ \geq \|Tx - \lambda Vx\| \ \geq |\|Tx\| - |\lambda||,$$

so

$$\|T - \lambda V\| \ \geq \sup_{\|x\|=1} | \ \|Tx\| - |\lambda| \ | \ \geq \max\{\|T\| - |\lambda|, |\lambda| - m(T)\},$$

therefore

$$\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \inf_{\lambda V \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}} \|T - \lambda V\| \ \geq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \max\{\|T\| - |\lambda|, |\lambda| - m(T)\} = \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2}.$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $T \in B(H)$ and $\dim\ker T \leq \dim\ker T^*$. Then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2}.$$

Proof. Since $\dim\ker T \leq \dim\ker T^*$, $T = V|T|$ for some isometry V. Let $\lambda = \frac{\|T\| + m(T)}{2}$. Then

$$\|T - \lambda V\| = \|V(|T| - \lambda)\| = \||T| - \lambda\| = \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2}.$$

□

Remark 3.4. *If we rewrite $\frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2} = \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{\|T\| + m(T)} \cdot \frac{\|T\| + m(T)}{2}$, then it follows from proof of the preceding theorem that*

$$\|T - \lambda V\| = \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2} = \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{\|T\| + m(T)} \cdot \frac{\|T\| + m(T)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1 + \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1 + \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}} \cdot \min\{\|T\|, \|\lambda V\|\},$$

and clearly,

$$\frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1 + \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1 + \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}} < 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1 + \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}},$$

this is a sharper result than [7, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T \in B(H)$. If $\dim\ker T \leq \dim\ker T^*$, then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1 + \hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{2} \|T\|.$$

Proof. Combine Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

□

Recall that $\operatorname{ind} T = \dim\ker T - \dim\ker T^*$. If H is separable, it is known that the closure of the set of $T \in B(H)$ with $\operatorname{ind} T \leq 0$ is the complement of the set of *semi-Fredholm operators* T with $\operatorname{ind} T > 0$ (i.e., $\operatorname{ran} T$ is closed, $\dim\ker T^* < \infty$ and $\dim\ker T > \dim\ker T^*$). Since the distance function is continuous, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose H is separable and $T \in B(H)$. If ran$T$ is not closed or ind$T \leq 0$, then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}{1+\hat{\varepsilon}(T)}}}{2}\|T\|.$$

Remark 3.7. *In this case, if ran$T$ is not closed, then $m(T) = 0$; hence*

$$dist(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \frac{\|T\|}{2}.$$

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose $T \in B(H)$ is right invertible but not invertible. Then*

$$0 < m(T^*) \leq \operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) \leq \|T\|.$$

Proof. Suppose $TS = 1$ for some $S \in B(H)$. Then $\|S\|^{-1} = m(T^*)$. Let $\lambda V \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$. Then

$$\|T - \lambda V\| \geq \|1 - \lambda VS\| \cdot \|S\|^{-1} = \|1 - \lambda VS\| \cdot \ m(T^*).$$

If $\|1 - \lambda VS\| \ < 1$, we see that VS is invertible, so V is invertible and therefore S is invertible, impossible. Clearly, $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) \leq \|T\|$. The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.9. *If T is a scalar multiple of a nonunitary co-isometry, then $m(T^*) = \|T\|$ and therefore* $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \|T\|$.

Even Example 3.1 tells us $\operatorname{dist}(T_n, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V})$ may not converge to 0 in the case that $\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n) \to 0$, we still have the following result.

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B(H)\backslash\{0\}$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n) \to 0$. Then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\frac{T_n}{\|T_n\|}, \mathcal{V}) \to 0.$$

Proof. We may assume all the $\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n)$'s are smaller than 1. For each n, let $t_n = \|T_n\|$ and $m_n = m(T_n)$. Since

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(T_n) = \frac{t_n^2 - m_n^2}{t_n^2 + m_n^2} = \frac{1 - \frac{m_n^2}{t_n^2}}{1 + \frac{m_n^2}{t_n^2}} \to 0,$$

it follow from Theorem 2.3 that $\frac{m_n}{t_n} \to 1$. Let $T_n = V_n|T_n|$ be the polar decomposition of each T_n. We see

$$\|\frac{T_n}{\|T_n\|} - V_n\| = \|\frac{|T_n|}{\|T_n\|} - I\| \leq 1 - \frac{m_n}{t_n} \to 0,$$

and therefore $\operatorname{dist}(\frac{T_n}{\|T_n\|}, \mathcal{V}) \to 0$. □

Remark 3.11. *We keep the same notations as in the proof of the above theorem. Actually, for all $\lambda_n \in [m_n, t_n]$, $\operatorname{dist}(\frac{T_n}{\lambda_n}, \mathcal{V}) \to 0$. This is because for each $\lambda_n \in [m_n, t_n]$,*

$$\|T_n - \lambda_n V_n\| = \||T_n| - \lambda_n\| \leq t_n - m_n,$$

so we have

$$\|\frac{T_n}{\lambda_n} - V_n\| \leq \frac{t_n - m_n}{\lambda_n} \leq \frac{t_n}{m_n} - 1 \to 0.$$

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose $S, T \in B(H)$. Then*

(1) *If $S, T \neq 0$, then*

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) \leq \frac{t_1^2 t_2^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}{t_1^2 t_2^2 + m_1^2 m_2^2},$$

where $\|S\| = t_1$, $m(S) = m_1$, $\|T\| = t_2$, $m(T) = m_2$.

(2) *If $0 \neq S \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$, then $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) = \hat{\varepsilon}(T)$.*

(3) *If $T \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$, then $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) \leq \hat{\varepsilon}(S)$.*

Proof. (1) Since $m(S)m(T) \leq m(ST) \leq \|ST\| \leq \|S\| \cdot \|T\|$, it is easy to see that

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) = \frac{\|ST\|^2 - m(ST)^2}{\|ST\|^2 + m(ST)^2} \leq \frac{t_1^2 t_2^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}{t_1^2 t_2^2 + m_1^2 m_2^2}.$$

(2) This is because $\|ST\| = \|S\| \cdot \|T\|$ and $m(S)m(T) = m(ST)$ if $S \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$.

(3) Let $T \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$. If $T = 0$, then $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) = 0 \leq \hat{\varepsilon}(S)$. If $T \neq 0$, then

$$t_2 = \|T\| = m(T) = m_2,$$

and hence

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) \leq \frac{t_1^2 t_2^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}{t_1^2 t_2^2 + m_1^2 m_2^2} = \frac{t_1^2 - m_1^2}{t_1^2 + m_1^2} = \hat{\varepsilon}(S).$$

□

We know from the above proposition that $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) \leq \hat{\varepsilon}(S)$ if $T \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$. Moreover, in this case, $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST)$ might take any value smaller than $\hat{\varepsilon}(S)$, see the following example.

Example 3.13. *Suppose $H = \ell^2$. Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\delta \in [0, 1]$ define two linear operators T by*

$$Tx = (0, 0, \lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, ...),$$

S by

$$Sx = (x_1, 2x_2, (1+\delta)x_3, (2-\delta)x_4, (1+\delta)x_5, (2-\delta)x_6, ...),$$

where $x = (x_n) \in H$. Then $T \in \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}$ and $\|T\| = |\lambda|$,

$$STx = (0, 0, (1+\delta)\lambda x_1, (2-\delta)\lambda x_2, (1+\delta)\lambda x_3, (2-\delta)\lambda x_4, ...).$$

Clearly, $\lambda = 0$ implies $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, by letting $\delta \to 0$, we see $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST)$ is converging to $\frac{3}{5} = \hat{\varepsilon}(S)$, and letting $\delta \to \frac{1}{2}$, we see $\hat{\varepsilon}(ST) \to 0$.

It is disappointing that we couldn't find a formula for $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V})$ for arbitrary operator T, but note that if H is finite dimensional, isometries are unitaries, then $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}) = \operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{U})$. In [8, Theorem 1.3], D. Rogers gave a formula for distance to the unitaries when H is separable. By using his result, we get a formula for $\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{U})$, we end this paper by listing this formula. The proof is not hard and left to reader.

Theorem 3.14. *Suppose H is separable and $T \in B(H)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(T, \mathbb{C}\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|T\| + m_e(T^*)}{2} & \text{if } \dim\ker T > \dim\ker T^* \\ \frac{\|T\| + m_e(T)}{2} & \text{if } \dim\ker T < \dim\ker T^* \\ \frac{\|T\| - m(T)}{2} & \text{if } \dim\ker T = \dim\ker T^*, \end{cases}$$

where $m_e(T) = \inf\{\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma_e(|T|)\}$.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank Professor Junhao Shen for some valuable suggestions.

References

[1] J. Chmieliński, P. Wsójcik, Isosceles-orthogonality preserving property and its stability, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 1445-1453.
[2] B. Mojtškerc, A. Turnšek, Mapping approximately preserving orthogonality in normed spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010) 3821-3831.
[3] P. Wśojcik, Linear mappings preserving ρ-orthogonality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 386 (2012) 171-176.
[4] A. Zamani, S. Moslehian, Approximate Roberts orthogonality, Aequat. Math. 2013. DOI:10.1007/s00010 -013-0233-7.
[5] J. Chmieliński, Linear mappings approximately preserving orthogonality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 304 (2005) 158-169.
[6] J. Chmieliński, Stability of the orthogonality preserving property in finite-dimensional inner product spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 433-443.
[7] A. Turnšek, On mappings approximately preserving orthogonality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336 (2007) 625-631.
[8] D. Rogers, Approximation by unitary and essentially unitary operators, Acta Sci. Math. 39 (1977) 141-151.

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: yjg2@wildcats.unh.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: yanni.chen@unh.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, U.S.A.
E-mail address: don@unh.edu
URL: http://euclid.unh.edu/~don

Institute of Applied Mathematics,Shangluo University, Shangluo 726000, P. R. China
E-mail address: kongliang2005@163.com